## Advisory Committee for 911 Service Oversight Committee Minutes February 5, 2002

In Attendance: Charles Jackson, Chairman, Bill Adams, Arie Crawford, Bob Asahl, Errol Bush, John Wade, Carol Ann Freeman, Peggy Loman, Roger Young, Bill Ferrell, R.D. Porter

Visitors were Tanya Moder, Jefferson County 911, House Springs, MO and Saralyn Doty, Mid-America Regional Council

- 1. The meeting was called to order at 1:15 p.m. at Ike Skelton Training Site in Jefferson City, MO. Mr. Jackson opened the meeting with roll call of members, a quorum was declared. Visitors in the audience were welcomed and introduced.
- 2. Administrative actions included review of the meeting minutes from the November 14, 2001 meeting. Mr. Adams made motion to approve the minutes, Mr. Bush seconded the motion. Motion was approved to accept minutes.
- 3. Mr. Wakeman discussed the new format of the telecommunicator training providers on the web site. The new format would be more readable. There were no objections to continue with the new format.
- 4. Ms. Freeman reported on the sub-committee meeting in Waynesville. There were several course submissions. Seven courses were given interim approval. Mr. Asahl made motion to approve the interim courses, second was made by Mr. Ferrell. Motion was approved. The sub-committee will review an NCI course at their next meeting for approval or denial. Mr. Porter talked about a letter he had received from NCI with concerns on Missouri laws regarding their training courses.
- 5. Mr. Wakeman discussed the Mission Statement that is on the Boards and Commissions web site. Requested all members review and offer suggestions to change, improve or stay the same.
- 6. Mr. Porter discussed the FCC Fifth Report and Order released on December 11, 2001. The basic concept of the order was to implement the provisions of the U.S. Senate Bill 800 which was passed in 2000. The FCC Fifth Report and Order states that both wire line and wireless carriers must route 9-1-1 calls to the nearest Public Safety Answering Point (PSAP) in all counties within the United States that do not currently have 9-1-1. They must do this by September 11, 2002. Mr. Porter explained that if the phone companies fail to act by September 11, that they could first, get a letter of reprimand, second they could be fined and third they could be removed of their FCC license. Mr. Wakeman asked if they should draft a white paper. Mr. Bush made motion to draft a white paper, second was made by Ms. Loman. Motion was approved to draft a white paper to go to the Federal Legislation, Governor and Missouri Legislation. Mr. Asahl

- suggested another white paper to go to the counties without 9-1-1. Mr. Wakeman proposed to send to all the counties and PSAPs and informational paper on the same subject. Motion was approved to send.
- 7. Mr. Porter reported that 16 to 19 counties do not have 9-1-1 service. Most of the counties cannot afford 9-1-1 service. Mr. Bush suggested funds coming from Homeland Security may be something to consider. Mr. Porter said that Senator Daschle has proposed to set up a grant program for 9-1-1.
- 8. Mr. Asahl wondered if the committee could put a workshop together for the counties with no 9-1-1 service. There was lengthy discussion that followed regarding the counties.
- 9. Discussion regarding the employee benefits was tabled to the next meeting.
- 10. Mr. Wakeman asked for discussion of new meeting dates in 2002. There was conflict with having the 9-1-1 meeting in conjunction with the MoNENA meeting the last weekend of March. The next meeting date will be May 21, 2002 at 1:00 p.m. in the SEMA EOC. Mr. Wakeman also mentioned the four members whose terms expire this April. They are Mr. Adams, Mr. Paulsell, Ms. Lumpe and Mr. Ferrell.
- 11. Mr. Porter reported that Gasconade County wished to thank the committee for observing their county and getting involved.
- 12. Ms. Tanya Moder from the audience had concerns regarding the wording of legislation. Mr. Porter suggested getting with the representative on the committee to modify 650.325-340. Ms. Moder asked why a secondary answering point wasn't required to have the same training as the primary. The committee agreed they should be, but at the time the writers of the regulation put it together, this was the only way they could get something passed. Believing that something was better than nothing, they opted to go with the present standards and training with the hope of improving it later.
- 13. Meeting adjourned at 3:25 p.m.